

## Comment on “Classical and Quantum Interaction of the Dipole”

Tomislav Ivezić

Ruder Bošković Institute, P.O.B. 180, 10002 Zagreb, Croatia  
ivezic@irb.hr

In [1], Anandan has presented a covariant treatment of the interaction of the electric and magnetic dipole moments of a particle with the electromagnetic field. Our aim is to make some important changes of the results from [1]. Instead of dealing with component form of tensors  $E^\mu$ , .. [1], we shall deal with tensors as four-dimensional (4D) geometric quantities,  $E^a$ , .. For simplicity, only the standard basis  $\{e_\mu; 0, 1, 2, 3\}$  of orthonormal 4-vectors, with  $e_0$  in the forward light cone, will be used.

Anandan states: “In any frame  $D^{0i}$  and  $D^{ij}$  that couple, respectively, to the electric field components  $F_{0i}$  and the magnetic field components  $F_{ij}$  are called the components of the electric and magnetic dipole moments.” Then, he defines that  $d^\mu$  and  $m^\mu$  are the components of  $D^{\mu\nu}$ , Eq. (2), and similarly that  $E^\mu$  and  $B^\mu$  are the components of  $F^{\mu\nu}$ , Eq. (4). Several objections can be raised to such treatment.

It is proved in [2] that the primary quantity for the whole electromagnetism is  $F^{ab}$  (i.e., in [2], the bivector  $F$ ).  $F^{ab}$  can be decomposed as  $F^{ab} = (1/c)(E^a v^b - E^b v^a) + \varepsilon^{abcd} v_c B_d$ , whence  $E^a = (1/c)F^{ab}v_b$  and  $B^a = (1/2c^2)\varepsilon^{abcd}F_{bc}v_d$ , with  $E^a v_a = B^a v_a = 0$ ; only three components of  $E^a$  and  $B^a$  in any basis are independent. The 4-velocity  $v^a$  is interpreted as the velocity of a family of observers who measure  $E^a$  and  $B^a$  fields.  $E^a$  and  $B^a$  depend not only on  $F^{ab}$  but on  $v^a$  as well. In the frame of “fiducial” observers, in which the observers who measure  $E^a$ ,  $B^a$  are at rest,  $v^a = ce_0$ . That frame with the  $\{e_\mu\}$  basis will be called the  $e_0$ -frame. In the  $e_0$ -frame  $E^0 = B^0 = 0$  and  $E^i = F^{i0}$ ,  $B^i = (1/2c)\varepsilon^{ijk0}F_{jk}$ . In any other inertial frame, the “fiducial” observers are moving, and  $v^a = ce_0 = v'^\mu e'_\mu$ ; under the passive Lorentz transformations (LT),  $v^\mu e_\mu$  transforms as any other 4-vector transforms. The same holds for  $E^a$  and  $B^a$ , e.g.,  $E^a = E^\mu e_\mu = [(1/c)F^{i0}v_0]e_i = E'^\mu e'_\mu = [(1/c)F'^{\mu\nu}v'_\nu]e'_\mu$ .  $E^\mu$  transform by the LT again to the components  $E'^\mu$  of the same electric field. There is no mixing with the components of the magnetic field.  $E'^\mu$  are not determined only by  $F'^{\mu\nu}$  but also by  $v'^\mu$ .

Only in the  $e_0$ -frame, and thus not in any frame, are  $F^{i0}$  and  $F^{jk}$  the electric and magnetic field components, respectively. The assertion that, e.g., in any inertial frame it holds that  $E^0 = E'^0 = 0$ ,  $E^i = F^{i0}$ , and  $E'^i = F'^{i0}$ , leads to the usual transformations of the 3-vector  $\mathbf{E}$ , see, e.g., [3], Eq. (11.149). In [4], the fundamental results are achieved that these usual transformations of the 3-vectors  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are not relativistically correct and have to be replaced by the LT of the electric and magnetic fields as 4D geometric quantities.

The electric and magnetic dipole moment 4-vectors  $d^a$  and  $m^a$ , respectively, can be determined from dipole moment tensor  $D^{ab}$  in the same way as  $E^a$  and  $B^a$  are obtained from  $F^{ab}$ ;  $D^{ab} = (1/c)(u^a d^b - u^b d^a) + (1/c^2)\varepsilon^{abcd}u_c m_d$ , whence  $d^a = (1/c)D^{ba}u_b$ , and  $m^a = (1/2)\varepsilon^{abcd}D_{bc}u_d$ , with  $d^a u_a = m^a u_a = 0$ .  $u^a = dx^a/ds$  is the 4-velocity of the particle.

The whole discussion about  $E^a$ ,  $B^a$  and  $F^{ab}$  can be repeated for  $d^a$ ,  $m^a$  and  $D^{ab}$ . Now, only in the rest frame of the particle and the  $\{e_\mu\}$  basis,  $u^a = ce_0$  and  $d^0 = m^0 = 0$ ,  $d^i = D^{0i}$ ,  $m^i = (c/2)\varepsilon^{ijk0}D_{jk}$ .

It is also stated in [1]: “The electric and magnetic fields in the rest frame ...” But, there is no rest frame for fields. The whole discussion in [1] has to be changed using different 4-velocities  $v^a$  and  $u^a$ . Thus Eqs. (7) and (6) become  $(1/2)F_{ab}D^{ba} = (1/c)D_a u^a + (1/c^2)M_a u^a$ , and  $D_a = d^b F_{ba}$ ,  $M_a = m^b F_{ba}^*$ . Instead of Eq. (5), we have that  $(1/2)F_{ab}D^{ba}$  is the sum of two terms  $(1/c^2)[(E_a d^a) + (B_a m^a)](v_b u^b) - (E_a u^a)(v_b d^b) - (B_a u^a)(v_b m^b)$  and  $(1/c^3)[\varepsilon^{abcd}(v_a E_b u_c m_d + c^2 d_a u_b v_c B_d)]$ ; the second term contains the interaction of  $E_a$  with  $m^a$ , and  $B_a$  with  $d^a$ . This last result significantly influences Eq. (17), and it will give new interpretations for, e.g., the Aharonov-Casher and the Röntgen phase shifts.

## References

- [1] J. Anandan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1354 (2000).
- [2] T. Ivezić, Found. Phys. Lett. **18**, 401 (2005).
- [3] J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (Wiley, New York, 1977) 2nd ed.
- [4] T. Ivezić, Found. Phys. **33**, 1339 (2003); Found. Phys. Lett. **18**, 301 (2005); Found. Phys. **35**, 1585 (2005).